

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Tra park Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

	STATES OF		2)1
APPLICATION NUMBER FILING D	ATE FIRST NAMED A	PPLICANT	ATTY, DOCKET NO.
	2702797 LOWELL	G	
-			359292000110
	HMOO /oco		EXAMINER
JOHN F MORAN	HM22/062	_	
OFFICE OF COMM	AND JUDGE ADVOCATE	RIDEN ART UN	
HQ USAMRDC FORT DETRICK	DEPT OF THE ARMY		0
FREDERICK MD 2	1702-5010	1648	0.
	1702-3012	DATE MAILE	rD·
		· i ·	06/22/99
This is a communication from the exar COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND			•
	OFFICE ACTION SU	MMARY	
	7/-100		
Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on	·	
This action is FINAL.	4		
Cinco this application is in condition	on for allowance except for formal matte	on managed and a to the mode	n la elacadia
	or <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 C		s is closed in
snortened statutory period for respo	nse to this action is set to expireate of this communication. Failure to re	month(s), o	or thirty days,
e application to become abandoned.	(35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time	may be obtained under the provi	isions of 37 CFR
136(a).			
isposition of Claims			
1:9			
Claim(s)	i	7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7	nding in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	1	is/are withdra	awn from consideration.
Claim(s) ————————————————————————————————————			is/are allowed.
Claim(s)			is/are rejected. _is/are objected to.
Claim(s)		are subject to restriction	-
			or oronous, roquironionii
pplication Papers			
See the attached Notice of Draftso	erson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	MR.	
The drawing(s) filed on		s/are objected to by the Examine	r.
The proposed drawing correction,		is \square approve	_
The specification is objected to by			an anapproved.
The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.		
1- D			
dority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim	m for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d).	
All Some* None o	f the CERTIFIED copies of the priority of	locuments have been	•
received.			
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)			
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
+O-4/5-4			

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☐ Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).

Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

5

10

15

20

25

30

The status of the related application(s) cited at the first page of the specification should be updated, if necessary, to ensure a properly completed file record. It is noted that the filing papers of the instant application indicated that this application is a divisional of application Serial No. 08/143,365, now U.S. Patent No. 5,726,292. However, Applicant's amendment to the first line of the specification indicates that the application is a "continuation of application U.S. Serial No. 143,365". Applicant should correct the inconsistency and add the correct series number, i.e., 08/143,365, at the first line of the specification. Further, Applicant is again reminded that Applicant is required to file a request for correction of the filing receipt since the file wrapper does not properly refer to the parent applications.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The Examiner acknowledges Applicant's Amendment, Paper No. 6, filed March 2, 1999. In view of Applicant's Amendment, the status of the claims is as follows: Claims 1-4 and newly added claims 5-9 are currently pending before the Examiner.

Applicant should note that the Amendment, Paper No. 6, filed March 2, 1999, has only been entered in part. At the top of page 3. after "Kindly add the following claims:" the language "gp160 and [a] proteosome" has not been entered in the specification since the amendment is unclear and does not correspond with the specification. As this amendment bears on the claims, correction by Applicant is required.

The oath or declaration remains defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.67(a) identifying this application by its Serial Number and filing date is required. See

5

10

15

20

25

30

M.P.E.P. 602.1 and 602.02. The oath or declaration is defective because:

- (1). It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration in a continuation-in-part application filed under the conditions specified in 35 U.S.C. § 120 which discloses and claims subject matter in addition to that disclosed in the prior copending application, acknowledges the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.
- (2). Applicant has not given a post office address anywhere in the application papers as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.33(a). A statement over Applicant's signature providing a complete post office address is required. Further, Applicant's residence address is illegible.

The rejection of claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention with respect to vaccines is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment deleting the terminology "vaccine."

Claims 1-4 and newly added claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 is vague and indefinite in the recitation "comprising gp160 and proteosome" since it is unclear whether there is more than one proteosome

5

10

15

20

25

constructed with the gp160. In other words, it is unclear whether the "a" deleted by the amendment, Paper No. 6, is properly deleted. Amendment of claim 1 to more clearly set forth what precisely constitutes the construct of claim 1 would obviate this rejection.

Claims 1-4 and newly added claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Lowell et al. (U) or Lowell et al. (V) or Smith et al. (W) or Avraham et al. (X) in view of Ratner et al. (Y) for the reasons of record set forth in the last Office Action. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive to overcome the rejection. Applicant argues that the rejection constitutes an "obvious to try" argument and that the references are not prior art to the instant application (see Paper No. 6, page 5). This is not persuasive.

With respect to the references not being prior art, the parent application, Serial No. 07/065,440, is not available to the Examiner at this time. Applicant should consider submitting a copy of the original specification of the parent application as a means to overcoming the art.

With respect to the "obvious to try" argument, Applicant's claims are only directed to compositions and methods for inducing antibodies. As gp160 is a large protein and since proteosomes were known in the art to enhance immunogenicity, one of ordinary skill in the art would have reasonably concluded that a composition of gp160 and proteosomes would reasonably give rise to antibodies specific for gp160. Thus, the prior art provides a reasonable expectation of success and does not constitute an "obvious to try" argument. The rejection is deemed proper and is maintained.

No claim is allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the

extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Robert D. Budens at (703) 308-2960. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM-4:00 PM, (EST). The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Chris Eisenschenk, can be reached at (703) 308-0452.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-0196.

Robert D. Budens Primary Examiner Art Unit 1648

rdb June 20, 1999

5

25

5

10

15

20